Form C-104 Rev. 2/01

# CONSTRUCTION VALUE ENGINEERING CONCEPT PROPOSAL MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

		72	Date 06/10/2009
Contract ID	070928-X01		Job No. J0P0928
County Mad	ison	Route 67	Original Bid Cost \$37,597,624.33
Contractor	Emery Sapp & Son	S	By Matthew Oesch
	Matthew Oesch		Phone (573) 489-9216
	ECP 09-4 on of existing requir	_	ange(s). Advantages/Disadvantages
497 +00 to safety, pro	497+65 on the NBL	The value engineering p	er south from 497 +00 to 497 +73 on the SBL and proposal will increase savings, increase motorist nline and shoulders, and further expedite
2. Estimate	of reduction in cons	truction costs.	\$15,523.83
	of any effects the pace and operations.	proposed change(s) will h	ave on other department costs, such as
thicker co provide be	ncrete in the shoulde etter support reducing ed date for submitta	ers for the adjusted area he	under orginal design. The VE will also provide lping increase strength. The deeper concrete should items required by Section 104.6 of the
		06/10/2009	)
		(date)	<del></del>
	or issuing a change n time or delivery so	order to obtain maximu	m cost reduction, noting the effect of contract
06/:	19/2009	Provide ample time to der	no and grade ahead of paving spread
(	(date)		(effect)
6. Dates of a	ny previous or conc	urrent submission of the	same proposal.
		N/A	
		(date and/or da	ites)

## Additional Comments:

A letter with detailed explanations of the modifications and spreadsheets detailing cost savings will be included.

# \*\* Portion Below This Line To Be Filled Out by MoDOT \*\*

Specialization between the property of the pro		
Comments:		
	Tarrela	6-12-09
***************************************	Submitted By Resident Engineer	Date
HALLAGE CONTRACTOR AND AND CONTRACTOR WHEN PROPERTY AND	mentioned by andicense manticense	- 20 M 300
		MAN til för blenke mår i finde i lär i delekt mårandra kan som en man andra stenness en eine men se krene san sin
Comments:	50/50 Spitt	
	,	
		•
Approval	11	
Recommenden	Mank Shelton by P. Koto	6-15-09
Rejection Recommended	District Engineer	-C Date
CONTRACTOR SET OF THE PROPERTY		
Comments:		
	D 1000	1 7: 09
M Approval	Mid M (200 you	6-26-09
Rejection	State Operations Engineer & Aw	Date
	V	

Distribution:

Resident Engineer, District Operations Engineer, State Operations Engineer \*Vulue Engineering Administrator - \*MoDOT, P.O. Box 276, Sefferson City, MO 65102



140 Walnut St. Kansas City, MO 64106 O: 816.221.3500 F: 816.421.9333 2602 N. Stadium Blvd. Columbia, MO 65202 O: 573.445.8331 F: 573.445.0266

5350 E. State Hwy. AA Springfield, MO 65803 O: 417.833.9915 F: 417.833.9981

June 29, 2009

Mr. Matt Malone, R.E. Missouri Dept. of Transportation 105 Industrial Dr. Park Hills, MO 63601

RE: Value Engineering Proposal 6 – Tie-in Adjustment at 497+00 for NBL & SBL Rte. 67, Madison County,

Job No. J0P0928

Mr. Malone:

This letter is written in proposition of a Value Engineering proposal to adjust the tie-in locations on the new north and south bound lanes where they meet the existing four lane. Emery Sapp & Sons proposes adjust the saw cuts further south from 497+00 to 497+73 on the SBL and 497+00 to 497+65 on the NBL.

Under original design it is required that the NBL and SBL be tied back into the existing four lane at station 497+00. The existing SBL is paved out to station 497+87 and the NBL is paved out past 499+00 with 12" PCCP at approximately 38 ft wide.

Emery Sapp & Sons proposes to adjust the saw cut mark further south from 497+00 to 497+73 on the SBL and 497+00 to 497+65 on the NBL. Adjusting the tie-in point will produce a cost savings of \$15,523.83 by eliminating an extra 138 ft of 9" PCCP main line paving, Type 5 base, A3 Shoulder, and Daylight of Type 5 Base. Adjusting the saw mark will help further expedite the paving and opening of new the SBL as well. By moving the tie-in to 497+73 on the SBL workers and equipment will be operating further away from live traffic increasing safety for motorist and work zone employees alike. The existing pavement from 497+00- 497+73 on the SBL and 497+00- 497+65 on the NBL is 12" PCCP which is thicker than the designed 9" PCCP that would be put back in its place. The existing lanes also have full depth shoulders which should be considerably stronger than the 4" A3 Shoulder that would take their place. No disadvantages are foreseen from adjusting the tie-in locations further south to 497+73 and 497+65.

In conclusion the value engineering proposal will increase savings by \$15,523.83, increase motorist safety, provide greater depth concrete under the new mainline and shoulders, and further expedite completion of the new SBL.

Sincerely,

Matthew Oesch

Emery Sapp & Son Inc.

# Value Engineering Proposal #5 - Adjust Tie-in Point Forward from 497+00 to 497+73

# **Concrete Main Line Pavement**

9" Concrete Pavement =	\$25.60 SY
497+00 - 497+65 NBL	187.78 SY = \$4,807.11
497+00 - 497+73 SBL	210.89 SY = \$5,398.76

Init Cost Type 5 Base =	\$3.40 SY	
97+00 - 497+65	260.0 SY =	\$884.00
97+00 - 497+73 SBL	292.0 SY =	\$992.80
97+00 - 497+73 SBL	292.0 SY =	ሉ ⊀ሳ

49/+00 - 49/+/5 SBL	= \S 0.262	\$992.80
-	Total Cost of Base Rock≡	\$1,876,80
A3 Shoulder		
nside Shld NBL	21.7 SY at \$17.60 SY =	\$381.33
<b>Dutside Shld NBL</b>	50.6 SY at \$17.60 SY =	\$889.78
Inside Shld SBL	24.3 SY at \$17.60 SY =	\$428.27
Outside Shld SBL	56.8 SY at \$17.60 SY =	\$999.29

# Daylight Base

\$357.50	\$385.00	\$742.50
0.65  STA at \$550.00/ STA =	0.7  STA at \$550.00/ STA =	Total Cost Daylight Base =
NBL Shoulder	SBL Shoulder	

\$15,523.83 Total Savings =

# VALUE ENGINEERING CHECK SHEET

# TYPE OF WORK

(Check one that applies)

- □ Bridge/Structure/Footings
- □ Drainage Structures (RCP, RCB, CMP's, ect.)
- □ TCP/MOT
- X Paving (PCCP, ect.)
- □ Grading/MSE Walls
- □ Signal/Lighting/ITS
- □ Misc.

# SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL

(If needed, condense summary to a couple of lines)

Contractor proposes to adjust the saw cuts for tie-ins in both the NBL and SBL leaving thicker 12" concrete in place than the designed 9" pavement. This also increases safety by having more area to tie-in the new pavement. This is a 50/50 split.

# SCANNING OF DOCUMENT

If the proposal is large, please mark or make note, which pages need to be scanned into the database. If there are special instructions, make note of them here.